1		
2		YORK : COUNTY OF ORANGE
3		WBURGH PLANNING BOARDX
4	In the Matter of	
5		
6	PLANNING BOARD	REORGANIZATION FOR 2025
7		
8		
9		X
10	BOAI	RD BUSINESS
11		- 16 0005
12		Date: January 16, 2025 Time: 7:00 p.m.
13		Place: Town of Newburgh Town Hall
14		1496 Route 300 Newburgh, NY 12550
15		
16	BOARD MEMBERS:	•
17		KENNETH MENNERICH STEPHANIE DeLUCA
18		DAVID DOMINICK JOHN A. WARD
19		
20	ALSO PRESENT:	DOMINIC CORDISCO, ESQ.
21		PATRICK HINES JAMES CAMPBELL
22		
23		
24	Co	HELLE L. CONERO OURT Reporter
25		845-541-4163 leconero@hotmail.com

1	Planning Board Reorganization
2	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Good evening,
3	ladies and gentlemen. The Town of
4	Newburgh Planning Board would like to
5	welcome you to their meeting of
6	January 16, 2025. We have nine items
7	on the agenda this evening.
8	We'll start the meeting off with
9	a roll call vote.
10	MR. DOMINICK: Present.
11	MS. DeLUCA: Present.
12	MR. MENNERICH: Present.
13	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Present.
14	MR. WARD: Present.
15	MR. CORDISCO: Dominic Cordisco,
16	Planning Board Attorney.
17	MS. CONERO: Michelle Conero,
18	Stenographer.
19	MR. HINES: Pat Hines with MHE
20	Engineering.
21	MR. CAMPBELL: Jim Campbell, Town
22	of Newburgh Code Compliance.
23	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: At this point
24	we'll turn the meeting over to John Ward
25	MR. WARD: Please stand to say the

1	Planning Board Reorganization
2	Pledge.
3	(Pledge of Allegiance.)
4	MR. WARD: Please turn off your
5	phones or put them on vibrate. Thank
6	you.
7	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: At this time
8	we'll turn the meeting over to Ken
9	Mennerich.
LO	MR. MENNERICH: At this time, the
11	beginning of 2025, we have the Planning
12	Board reorganization meeting. It states
13	the reappointments for the Town of
L 4	Newburgh Planning Board.
15	The following are the people that
16	are being reappointed: Kenneth
L 7	Mennerich, Vice Chairman of the Planning
18	Board; Dominic Cordisco, Attorney to the
19	Planning Board; MHE, Patrick Hines,
20	Engineer and Planners to the Planning
21	Board; Karen Arent, RLA, Landscape
22	Architect to the Planning Board; Ken
23	Wersted, PE, Traffic Engineer to the
24	Planning Board; Douglas Fishman, PE,
25	Telecommunications Consultant; and

1	Planning Board Reorganization
2	Michelle Conero, Stenographer to the
3	Planning Board.
4	The Planning Board Members have all
5	of the information on the people that
6	have requested these reappointments.
7	At this time I'd like to have a
8	motion for accepting the nominees.
9	MR. DOMINICK: I'll make a motion.
10	MS. DeLUCA: Second.
11	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: We have a
12	motion by Dave Dominick and a second by
13	Stephanie DeLuca. Can I have a roll call
14	vote starting with Dave Dominick.
15	MR. DOMINICK: Aye.
16	MS. DeLUCA: Aye.
17	MR. MENNERICH: Aye.
18	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Aye.
19	MR. WARD: Aye.
20	MR. MENNERICH: Thank you.
21	The next item is the Planning Board
22	meeting schedule, the dates for all of
23	our meetings for this year. We also have
24	the consultants' work session meeting
25	dates for this year.

1	Planning Board Reorganization
2	I'd like a motion to accept that.
3	MR. WARD: So moved.
4	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: I'll second the
5	motion.
6	MR. MENNERICH: John Ward and a
7	second by John Ewasutyn.
8	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Can I have a
9	roll call vote starting with Dave
10	Dominick.
11	MR. DOMINICK: One question, John.
12	June 19th, do we want to mention that is
13	not a meeting?
14	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Since we don't
15	know what that date is, I think what
16	we're saying is we didn't realize when we
17	put the agenda together that June 19th is
18	a holiday. At some point in time, when
19	we can find an alternate date, we will
20	have that meeting on that date. It will
21	be important, actually, to the public as
22	well as the Planning Board, because after
23	June 19th, the next date would be July 4th
24	in which case there wouldn't be a meeting.
25	We're hoping to find this hall available

1	Planning Board Reorganization
2	sometime in June prior to the 19th so
3	that we can provide the service to
4	applicants to have two meetings in the
5	month of June.
6	Thank you, Dave.
7	Right now it's unknown until we
8	find out the availability of this hall
9	MR. DOMINICK: Aye.
10	MS. DeLUCA: Aye.
11	MR. MENNERICH: Aye.
12	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Aye.
13	MR. WARD: Aye.
L 4	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Thank you.
15	
16	(Time noted: 7:04 p.m.)
L7	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	Planning Board Reorganization
2	
3	CERTIFICATION
4	
5	
6	I, MICHELLE CONERO, a Notary Public for
7	and within the State of New York, do hereby
8	certify:
9	That hereinbefore set forth is a true
10	record of the proceedings.
11	I further certify that I am not related
12	to any of the parties to this proceeding by
13	blood or by marriage and that I am in no way
14	interested in the outcome of this matter.
15	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set
16	my hand this 21st day of January 2025.
17	
18	
19	
20	Michelle Conero
21	MICHELLE CONERO
22	FII CHILLIAN CONLINO
23	
24	
25	

1		
2	STATE OF NEW YORK : COUNTY OF C	
3		X
4	In the Matter of	
5	THE ENCLAVE	
6	(2022-25)	
7	NYS Route 300 & Gardnertown Roa Section 34; Block 1; Lots 46, 52.12 R-3 Zone	
8	R-3 Zone	
9		X
10	ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF RECEIPT OF DEI	
11	<u> MULTI-FAMILY SITE PLAN - 246 UNI</u>	<u>TS</u>
12	Date: January 16	
13	Time: 7:04 p.m. Place: Town of Ne	
14	Town Hall 1496 Route	
15	Newburgh,	NY 12550
16	BOARD MEMBERS: JOHN P. EWASUTYN, (Chairman
17	KENNETH MENNERICH STEPHANIE DeLUCA	
18	DAVID DOMINICK JOHN A. WARD	
19	ALSO PRESENT: DOMINIC CORDISCO, I	ESQ.
20	PATRICK HINES JAMES CAMPBELL	
21		
22	APPLICANT'S REPRESENTATIVE: REUBEN BU	ICK
23		X
24	MICHELLE L. CONERO Court Reporter	
25	845-541-4163 michelleconero@hotmail.com	

1	The Enclave
2	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: The first
3	item of business this evening is The
4	Enclave, project number 22-25. It's
5	to acknowledge receipt of the DEIS for
6	a multi-family site plan consisting of
7	246 units. It's in an R-3 Zone. It's
8	being represented by Engineering &
9	Surveying Properties. Thank you.
10	MR. BUCK: Good evening. Reuben
11	Buck, Engineering Properties, here on
12	behalf of the applicant, Diversified
13	Properties, LLC.
14	As the Chairman mentioned, we're
15	here tonight for the confirmation of
16	receipt of the draft environmental impact
17	statement for the project located off of
18	Route 300, 246 residential units.
L 9	The DEIS was submitted on
20	December 23, 2024. We're just here
21	tonight to take the next steps in the
22	SEQRA process and have the Board
23	confirm receipt of the document and
24	begin their review. Thank you.
25	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Dominic Cordisco

1	The Enclave
2	Planning Board Attorney, would you advise
3	the Board.
4	MR. CORDISCO: Yes. So this is the
5	submission of a proposed draft environmental
6	impact statement. The Board's responsibility
7	at this stage of the process is to review
8	this document as it relates to the adopted
9	scope for the project. You're looking to
10	make sure that the applicant has addressed
11	or touched on all things that are included
12	in the scope. There will be a substantive
13	review that occurs later on in the
14	process that will also obviously include
15	a public hearing.
16	SEQRA provides that there's 45 days
17	for the lead agency to provide comments
18	to the applicant as a result of the
19	submission. The Board is acknowledging
20	receipt tonight of the document, and so
21	that would start the 45-day process.
22	The Board would like to place this on
23	the agenda for their meeting in the
24	beginning of March, which would be the

March 6th meeting. That's just a little

1	The Enclave
2	bit outside the 45 days from today's
3	meeting, but if that's acceptable to
4	the applicant, then that's what we'll
5	plan on doing.
6	MR. BUCK: That is acceptable to
7	the applicant.
8	MR. CORDISCO: You don't have to
9	make another submission. The Board will
10	place you on that agenda. We'll provide
11	you with their comments as well as the
12	consultants' comments.
13	MR. BUCK: Thank you.
14	
15	(Time noted: 7:07 p.m.)
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	The Enclave
2	
3	CERTIFICATION
4	
5	
6	I, MICHELLE CONERO, a Notary Public for
7	and within the State of New York, do hereby
8	certify:
9	That hereinbefore set forth is a true
10	record of the proceedings.
11	I further certify that I am not related
12	to any of the parties to this proceeding by
13	blood or by marriage and that I am in no way
14	interested in the outcome of this matter.
15	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set
16	my hand this 21st day of January 2025.
17	
18	
19	
20	Michelle Conero
21	MICHELLE CONERO
22	MICHELLE CONERO
23	
24	
25	

1		
2		YORK : COUNTY OF ORANGE
3		WBURGH PLANNING BOARDX
4	In the Matter of	
5	TANDS OF TILS	C DDECUTCE HOMEC IIC
6		S PRESTIGE HOMES, LLC 2024-30)
7		eet & Elmhurst Avenue Block 2; Lots 10 & 11
8	Section 33;	R-1 Zone
9		X
10	I OT	
11	<u> 1101</u>	LINE CHANGE
12		Date: January 16, 2025 Time: 7:07 p.m.
13		Place: Town of Newburgh Town Hall
14		1496 Route 300 Newburgh, NY 12550
15		Newbargii, Ni 12550
16	BOARD MEMBERS:	JOHN P. EWASUTYN, Chairman KENNETH MENNERICH
17		STEPHANIE DELUCA DAVID DOMINICK
18		JOHN A. WARD
19	ALSO PRESENT:	DOMINIC CORDISCO, ESQ. PATRICK HINES
20		JAMES CAMPBELL
21	APPLICANT'S REPRE	SENTATIVE: KENNETH LYTLE
22		
23		X CHELLE L. CONERO
24	Сс	ourt Reporter
25		econero@hotmail.com

1	Lands of LL's Prestige Homes, LLC
2	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Item number 2,
3	the Lands of LL's Prestige Homes. It's a
4	lot line change. It's project number
5	24-30. It's located on the corner of
6	Charles Street and Elmhurst Avenue in an
7	R-1 Zone. Zen Consultants is the
8	applicant's representative.
9	MR. LYTLE: Good evening. Since
10	our last meeting we were sent off to the
11	Zoning Board, the ZBA, for approval of
12	the variances we needed, both for lot
13	area and lot width. We received those
14	and it's noted on the plans.
15	Pat had a couple of comments. I
16	believe we addressed all of those, except
17	he wanted to modify the notes. We put
18	notes on there regarding the planting and
19	some more regarding the trees and the
20	bats.
21	That's pretty much what we have.
22	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Pat Hines with
23	MH&E.
24	MR. HINES: As was mentioned, the
25	project was at the Zoning Board of

1	Lands	of LL's Prestige Homes, LLC
2		Appeals and received approval for the lot
3		area, lot 10 being 18,167 and lot 11
4		being 20,045 where 40,000 is required.
5		They also granted a width variance of 126
6		feet where 150 feet is required.
7		There's an existing structure on
8		the site which will end up being on lot 1.
9		That structure is proposed to be removed
LO		as it currently spans the property line
11		and doesn't meet any setback requirements.
12		We're suggesting that the structure be
13		removed prior to stamping of the plans
L 4		as the Town would have no other way of
15		enforcing the removal of the structure
16		upon filing of the map. I'll defer to
17		Dominic on that language.
18		We did receive a sign-off letter
19		from the highway superintendent
20		regarding the driveways.
21		We're requesting that the
22		separation distance for the septic
23		laterals on tax lot 11 be shown
24		depicting the 10-foot minimum required
25		from the property line I scaled it

1	Lands of LL's Prestige Homes, LLC
2	and it's fairly close. I just want to
3	make sure that it's actually more than
4	10 feet from the property line.
5	Compliance with the Tree
6	Preservation Ordinance has been
7	documented. The trees on the site have
8	been identified, and those to be removed
9	are also identified.
10	The erosion and sediment control
11	references hay bales as well as the
12	detail. Silt fence should be utilized
13	as hay bales are not an acceptable
14	practice.
15	The bat habitat, there was a
16	response that you had placed a note on
17	the map. I couldn't find that note.
18	MR. LYTLE: We'll adjust it.
19	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Jim Campbell,
20	Code Compliance.
21	MR. CAMPBELL: I have nothing
22	additional to add.
23	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Comments from
24	Planning Board Members.
25	MR. DOMINICK: Nothing further.

1	Lands of LL's Prestige Homes, LLC
2	It's been covered.
3	MS. DeLUCA: Nothing.
4	MR. MENNERICH: No.
5	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: No comment.
6	MR. WARD: Nothing.
7	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Dominic Cordisco
8	Planning Board Attorney, can you give us
9	conditions of approval for the lot line
10	change.
11	MR. CORDISCO: Of course. Just to
12	note for the record that this is a Type 2
13	action under SEQRA, so no negative
14	declaration needs to be considered.
15	As Pat had mentioned, the variances
16	that were required have been granted for
17	the project.
18	The conditions would include
19	compliance with any conditions with the
20	decision of the Zoning Board of Appeals,
21	modifications to the plan to address Pat
22	Hines' comments, as well as continued
23	compliance with the Tree Preservation
24	Law.
25	As Pat suggested, the Board should

1	Lands	of LL's Prestige Homes, LLC
2		consider requiring as a condition the
3		removal of the existing residential
4		structure. I concur with Pat that once
5		the lot line change is filed and no
6		further construction would occur, you
7		essentially have a residence that would
8		be bisected by a new lot line. As a
9		result, it would make a difficult
10		situation worse if no further
11		construction was to occur. As a result,
12		the condition would be to remove the
13		existing residential structure prior to
14		the signature on the lot line change
15		plans.
16		CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Having heard
17		the conditions of approval for the Lands
18		of LL's Prestige Homes, project number
19		24-30, presented by Planning Board
20		Attorney Dominic Cordisco, would someone
21		move for that motion.
22		MR. WARD: So moved.
23		MR. MENNERICH: Second.
24		CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: I have a motion
25		by John Ward. I have a second by Ken

1	Lands of LL's Prestige Homes, LLC
2	Mennerich. Can I have a roll call vote
3	starting with Dave Dominick.
4	MR. DOMINICK: Aye.
5	MS. DeLUCA: Aye.
6	MR. MENNERICH: Aye.
7	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Aye.
8	MR. WARD: Aye.
9	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Motion carried.
10	MR. LYTLE: Thank you.
11	
12	(Time noted: 7:12 p.m.)
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	Lands of LL's Prestige Homes, LLC
2	
3	
4	CERTIFICATION
5	
6	
7	I, MICHELLE CONERO, a Notary Public for
8	and within the State of New York, do hereby
9	certify:
10	That hereinbefore set forth is a true
11	record of the proceedings.
12	I further certify that I am not related
13	to any of the parties to this proceeding by
14	blood or by marriage and that I am in no way
15	interested in the outcome of this matter.
16	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set
17	my hand this 21st day of January 2025.
18	
19	
20	
21	Michelle Conero
22	MICHELLE CONERO
23	MICHELLE CONERO
24	
25	

1		
2		ORK : COUNTY OF ORANGE
3		JRGH PLANNING BOARD X
4	In the Matter of	
5		
6	•	IL CANNABIS DISPENSARY 24-32)
7		Route 17K Block 1; Lot 63.1
8		IB Zone
9		V
10		
11	SITE PLAN & S	SPECIAL USE PERMIT
12		Date: January 16, 2025
13		Time: 7:12 p.m. Place: Town of Newburgh Town Hall
14		1496 Route 300 Newburgh, NY 12550
15		2.2 0.2 g.s., 2 2.2 2.2 2.2
16		JOHN P. EWASUTYN, Chairman KENNETH MENNERICH
17	2	STEPHANIE DELUCA DAVID DOMINICK
18		JOHN A. WARD
19		DOMINIC CORDISCO, ESQ. PATRICK HINES
20		JAMES CAMPBELL
21		
22	APPLICANT'S REPRESEN	TATIVE: JOSEPH SAFFIOTTI
23		X
24	Cour	LLE L. CONERO t Reporter
25		-541-4163 onero@hotmail.com

1	CBD 420, LLC Retail Cannabis Dispensary
2	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Item number
3	3 is CBD 420, LLC Retail Cannabis
4	Dispensary, project number 24-32. It's
5	before us this evening for a site plan
6	and special use permit. It's located
7	on Route 17K in an IB Zone. Joseph M.
8	Saffiotti is representing the applicant
9	MR. SAFFIOTTI: Good evening,
10	Mr. Chairman, Board Members. The last
11	time we were here, the Board referred
12	this application to the Zoning Board of
13	Appeals for six variances that were
14	needed. We appeared before the Zoning
15	Board of Appeals, had a public hearing
16	at the December meeting. The Zoning
17	Board of Appeals granted the variances
18	that were needed for this project.
19	Again, this is a repurposing of
20	an existing structure, a storefront in
21	an existing building.
22	We believe that all of the comments
23	have been addressed by the Board.
24	As is required a public hearing
25	for this project, we would request the

1	CBD 420, LLC Retail Cannabis Dispensary
2	Board schedule that public hearing at
3	the next available date.
4	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Thank you.
5	Pat Hines with MH&E.
6	MR. HINES: The applicant was
7	before the Zoning Board. We don't have
8	copies of the resolution, but I do have
9	an indication that those variances were
10	granted.
11	The County 239 was received with
12	advisory comments regarding signage and
13	lighting.
14	It is a Type 2 action under SEQRA,
15	a change of use within an existing
16	structure. It is a special use in your
17	Code, so a public hearing would be
18	appropriate.
19	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Jim Campbell,
20	Code Compliance, any comments?
21	MR. CAMPBELL: No comments.
22	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Comments from
23	Board Members.
24	MR. DOMINICK: Nothing further.
25	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Would someone

1	CBD 420, LLC Retail Cannabis Dispensary
2	make a motion to schedule CBD 420, LLC
3	Retail Cannabis Dispensary for a public
4	hearing on the 20th of February.
5	MR. DOMINICK: So moved.
6	MS. DeLUCA: Second.
7	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: I have a motion
8	by Dave Dominick. I have a second by
9	Stephanie DeLuca. Can I have a roll call
LO	vote starting with Dave Dominick.
11	MR. DOMINICK: Aye.
12	MS. DeLUCA: Aye.
13	MR. MENNERICH: Aye.
L 4	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Aye.
15	MR. WARD: Aye.
16	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Motion carried.
L 7	Thank you.
18	MR. SAFFIOTTI: Thank you, Mr.
19	Chairman.
20	
21	(Time noted: 7:15 p.m.)
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	CBD 420, LLC Retail Cannabis Dispensary
2	
3	CERTIFICATION
4	
5	
6	I, MICHELLE CONERO, a Notary Public for
7	and within the State of New York, do hereby
8	certify:
9	That hereinbefore set forth is a true
10	record of the proceedings.
11	I further certify that I am not related
12	to any of the parties to this proceeding by
13	blood or by marriage and that I am in no way
14	interested in the outcome of this matter.
15	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set
16	my hand this 21st day of January 2025.
17	
18	
19	
20	Michelle Conero
21	MICHELLE CONERO
22	PHEHILLI CONLINO
23	
24	
25	

1			
2	STATE OF NEW YO	RK : COUNTY (JRGH PLANNING I	
3			X
4	In the Matter of		
5			
6	KUSH FACTORY RETA (20)	IL CANNABIS DI 4-34)	SPENSARY
7		S Route 300	
8		Block 1; Lot B Zone	72
9			
10			X
11	SITE PLAN &	SPECIAL USE PE	RMIT
12		Date: Janua:	rv 16. 2025
13		Time: 7:15 p	o.m.
		Town H	Hall
14			Route 300 rgh, NY 12550
15			
16		JOHN P. EWASUT	•
17		KENNETH MENNER STEPHANIE DeLU	CA
18		DAVID DOMINICK JOHN A. WARD	
19	ALSO PRESENT:	DOMINIC CORDIS	CO, ESQ.
20		PATRICK HINES JAMES CAMPBELL	
21			
22	APPLICANT'S REPRESE	ITATIVE: MELZ	INA CANIGAN IZZARD
23			X
24		LLE L. CONERO t Reporter	
25	845	-541-4163 onero@hotmail.	COM
	111201101100		

1	Kush Factory Retail Cannabis Dispensary
2	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Item number 4
3	is Kush Factory Retail Cannabis
4	Dispensary, project number 24-34. It's
5	here before us this evening for a site
6	plan and special use permit. The project
7	is located in Lowe's Plaza in an IB Zone.
8	It's being represented by Melzina
9	what's your last name?
10	MS. CANIGAN IZZARD: Canigan
11	Izzard.
12	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Pat Hines, can
13	you review the project with us.
14	MR. HINES: Sure. This project was
15	referred to the Orange County Planning
16	Department. It will be timed out now.
17	The last time we checked, they had a
18	percentage complete. It was at eighty
19	percent complete I guess, their review.
20	It's timed out under the statutory
21	timeframes.
22	Once again, similar to the last
23	one, this is a special use under your
24	Town's Cannabis Code and therefore
25	requires a public hearing.

1	Kush Factory Retail Cannabis Dispensary
2	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Jim Campbell,
3	do you have any comments?
4	MR. CAMPBELL: No additional
5	comments.
6	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Dominic Cordisco,
7	Planning Board Attorney.
8	MR. CORDISCO: It would be appropriate
9	for the Board to consider scheduling a
10	public hearing at this time.
11	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Can someone make
12	a motion to schedule a public hearing for
13	Kush Factory Retail Cannabis Dispensary,
14	project number 24-34, for a public hearing
15	on the 20th of February.
16	MR. WARD: So moved.
17	MS. DeLUCA: Second.
18	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: I have a motion
19	by Dave Dominick. I have a second by
20	Stephanie DeLuca. May I please have a
21	roll call vote starting with Dave Dominick.
22	MR. DOMINICK: Aye.
23	MS. DeLUCA: Aye.
24	MR. MENNERICH: Aye.
25	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Aye.

1	Kush Factory Retail Cannabis Dispensary
2	MR. WARD: Aye.
3	MS. CANIGAN IZZARD: Thank you.
4	
5	(Time noted: 7:17 p.m.)
6	
7	CERTIFICATION
8	
9	I, MICHELLE CONERO, a Notary Public for
10	and within the State of New York, do hereby
11	certify:
12	That hereinbefore set forth is a true
13	record of the proceedings.
14	I further certify that I am not related
15	to any of the parties to this proceeding by
16	blood or by marriage and that I am in no way
17	interested in the outcome of this matter.
18	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set
19	my hand this 21st day of January 2025.
20	
21	
22	
23	Michelle Conero
24	MICHELLE CONERO
25	

1		
2		ORK : COUNTY OF ORANGE
3		BURGH PLANNING BOARD X
4	In the Matter of	
5		CENTED EN CHARCING
6		CENTER - EV CHARGING 024-20)
7		S Route 17K
8	Section 69;	Block 1; Lot 38.22 B Zone
9		77
10		X
11	SIIE PLAN -	EV CHARGING STATION
12		Date: January 16, 2025
13		Time: 7:17 p.m. Place: Town of Newburgh Town Hall
14		1496 Route 300 Newburgh, NY 12550
15		Newburgh, Ni 12550
16	BOARD MEMBERS:	JOHN P. EWASUTYN, Chairman KENNETH MENNERICH
17		STEPHANIE DELUCA DAVID DOMINICK
18		JOHN A. WARD
19	ALSO PRESENT:	DOMINIC CORDISCO, ESQ. PATRICK HINES
20		JAMES CAMPBELL
21	ADDITCANIT'S DEDDES	ENTATIVE: ANDREW FETHERSTON
22	ALLEICANI 5 NEINES	ENTATIVE: ANDINEW PETHENSION
23		X HELLE L. CONERO
24	Coı	rt Reporter 45-541-4163
25		econero@hotmail.com

1	Pilot travel Center - EV Charging
2	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Item number 5
3	Pilot Travel Center - EV Charging,
4	project number 24-20. This is a site
5	plan and EV charging station. It's
6	located on Route 17K in a B Zone. It's
7	being represented by Andrew Fetherston.
8	MR. FETHERSTON: Good evening,
9	Mr. Chairman, Members of the Board.
10	Andrew Fetherston, Colliers Engineering
11	& Design.
12	I believe the last time we were
13	here we were waiting for a letter from
14	County Planning. That was received.
15	They had no additional comments on the
16	application.
17	Mr. Chairman, I'm here to request
18	that the Board consider waiving the
19	public hearing on this application.
20	We're seeking conditional final
21	approval.
22	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Okay. I'll
23	poll the Board Members. Dave Dominick,
24	do you want excuse me. Let me stop
25	for a second. For site plans the

1	Pilot	travel Center - EV Charging
2		Planning Board has discretionary approval
3		or denial not denial, but approval or
4		to not have a public hearing. I'm going
5		to poll the Board Members now to find out
6		if they want to hold a public hearing or
7		waive the public hearing. I'll start
8		with Dave Dominick.
9		MR. DOMINICK: John, I think we
10		could waive the public hearing. I'd like
11		to give a reason, just for the record.
12		CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Thank you.
13		MR. DOMINICK: Given that this
14		project is on a high-volume site, I don't
15		believe a public hearing is warranted.
16		CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Stephanie DeLuca
17		MS. DeLUCA: Agreed.
18		MR. MENNERICH: I agree. Also
19		because the amount of adjustment to the
20		project site is minimal.
21		CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Okay. I move
22		to waive the public hearing.
23		MR. WARD: I'd waive it, too. The
24		location is excellent for the site.
25		CHAIRMAN EWASHTYN. Let the record

1	Pilot	travel Center - EV Charging
2		show that all of the Planning Board
3		Members waived the public hearing for
4		Pilot Travel Center - EV Charging. The
5		reasoning to support that action is part
6		of the minutes.
7		At this time I'll turn the meeting
8		over to Pat Hines with MH&E.
9		MR. HINES: We confirm that the
10		project was submitted on December 3rd to
11		County Planning. We have a no impact
12		letter from County Planning with no
13		advisory comments.
14		You waived the public hearing.
15		There's a minimal amount of
16		landscaping. We would defer any of the
17		landscaping security to the Building
18		Department rather than having security
19		posted for that. It would be more of an
20		effort to post security than really it's
21		worth based on the amount of landscaping.
22		Otherwise, there are no outstanding
23		comments.
24		CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Jim Campbell,
25		Code Compliance.

1	Pilot travel Center - EV Charging
2	MR. CAMPBELL: Nothing additional.
3	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: All right. At
4	this point we'll turn the meeting over to
5	Dominic Cordisco, Planning Board Attorney
6	to give us conditions of approval.
7	MR. CORDISCO: The conditions
8	actually are simpler than normal,
9	Mr. Chairman, because we don't have any
10	outstanding engineering comments that
11	have to be addressed.
12	As Pat suggested, the particulars
13	of this one would include deferring the
14	landscaping security to oversight by
15	the Building Department rather than
16	going through the process of providing
17	a bond to the Town for the minimal
18	amount of landscaping that is going to
19	occur here. Compliance with the plan.
20	Nothing can get built that isn't shown
21	on the plan. It is for this particular
22	use. If anything is proposed as far as
23	changes in the future, they would have
24	to return to the Board for an amended
25	approval.

1	Pilot travel Center - EV Charging
2	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Thank you,
3	Dominic.
4	Would someone move for a motion
5	subject to the conditions presented by
6	Planning Board Attorney Dominic Cordisco.
7	MR. WARD: So moved.
8	MR. MENNERICH: Second.
9	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: I have a motion
10	by John Ward. I have a second by Ken
11	Mennerich. Can I have a roll call vote
12	starting with Dave Dominick.
13	MR. DOMINICK: Aye.
14	MS. DeLUCA: Aye.
15	MR. MENNERICH: Aye.
16	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Aye.
17	MR. WARD: Aye.
18	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Motion carried.
19	MR. FETHERSTON: Great. Thank you,
20	Mr. Chairman.
21	
22	(Time noted: 7:21 p.m.)
23	
24	
25	

1	Pilot travel Center - EV Charging
2	
3	CERTIFICATION
4	
5	
6	I, MICHELLE CONERO, a Notary Public for
7	and within the State of New York, do hereby
8	certify:
9	That hereinbefore set forth is a true
10	record of the proceedings.
11	I further certify that I am not related
12	to any of the parties to this proceeding by
13	blood or by marriage and that I am in no way
14	interested in the outcome of this matter.
15	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set
16	my hand this 21st day of January 2025.
17	
18	
19	
20	Michelle Conero
21	MICHELLE CONERO
22	MICHELLE CONERO
23	
24	
25	

1		
2		YORK : COUNTY OF ORANGE EWBURGH PLANNING BOARD
3		X
4	In the Matter of	
5	177, 777	
6	I/K VII	NEYARDS & SPIRITS (2024-21)
7		274 Route 17K
8	Section	86; Block 1; Lot 12 IB Zone
9		77
10		X
11		SITE PLAN
12		Date: January 16, 2025
13		Time: 7:21 p.m. Place: Town of Newburgh
14		Town Hall 1496 Route 300
15		Newburgh, NY 12550
16	BOARD MEMBERS:	JOHN P. EWASUTYN, Chairman
17		KENNETH MENNERICH STEPHANIE DeLUCA
18		DAVID DOMINICK JOHN A. WARD
19	ALSO PRESENT:	DOMINIC CORDISCO, ESQ.
20		PATRICK HINES JAMES CAMPBELL
21		
22	APPLICANT'S REPRE	ESENTATIVES: FLOYD JOHNSON SUKHVIR SINGH
23		X
24		CCHELLE L. CONERO
25	michel	845-541-4163 leconero@hotmail.com

1	17K Vineyards & Spirits
2	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Item number 6,
3	17K Vineyards & Spirits, project number
4	24-21. It's a site plan located on
5	Route 17K in an IB Zone. The
6	applicant's representative is Floyd
7	Johnson.
8	MR. JOHNSON: Good evening. The
9	November comments were updated.
LO	DOT still has some concerns about
11	the site. One of them is that the
12	property line runs down the middle of the
13	road on the west side. That is from the
L 4	surveyor. I don't know how DOT wants me
15	to address that, go back and question the
16	surveyor why that property line is in the
17	middle of the road. That's one of their
18	concerns.
19	The other concern that they had was
20	the exit in to the property. He mentions
21	there's an in or a right out of the
22	property. There's no exit on this
23	entranceway. The sign says do not enter,
24	one way. They have to exit out the west
25	side of the property. If you allow

1	17K Vineyards & Spirits
2	someone to go in here, you know somebody
3	is going to attempt to make a left-hand
4	turn. The sign says no left-hand turn,
5	do not enter. I can address that with
6	DOT and say that we're not putting a
7	right-hand turn in that driveway.
8	The other comment that they made
9	was that the apparently he doesn't see
10	it, the delivery the parking space
11	right here is for deliveries. Do not
12	park. I guess he missed that.
13	The other thing is, I guess there's
14	two forms for the Perm 33. I thought I
15	was sending the right one in. I sent it
16	a couple of times. I will go back to the
17	site and see look for the one that
18	says Perm 33 Com. I guess I'm filling
19	out the wrong form and sending it to
20	them.
21	The other condition was, I guess
22	there's a certain detail that he wants
23	for the sight of vision. He wants me to
24	go to Chapter 5.
25	Those were the last comments that I

1	17K Vineyards & Spirits
2	got.
3	MR. HINES: Are those Ken Wersted's
4	comments or DOT?
5	MR. JOHNSON: What?
6	MR. HINES: Are those from the
7	Town's Traffic Consultant or DOT?
8	MR. JOHNSON: DOT.
9	MR. HINES: Ken mirrored those
10	comments in his comments today as well.
11	The DOT is suggesting that the Town
12	request a dedication of the property to
13	the center line. We typically do that
14	for subdivisions but not often for site
15	plans because there are no changes in the
16	lots on this right now. It's up to the
17	Board. It's a roadway by use, not by the
18	right-of-way. The property lines are
19	shown to the center line. It just became
20	a road. The Town has all the rights it
21	needs as a roadway by use.
22	I believe DOT might be looking for
23	a definitive right turn in only.
24	MR. JOHNSON: Okay.
25	MR. HINES: You'll have to work it

1	17K Vineyards & Spirits
2	out with them. Looking at their comment
3	I believe they want to have the curbing
4	such that it's forcing the right turn
5	only and no one can sneak out and make a
6	left or a right out. They may entertain
7	a right in/right out only, I don't know,
8	with the pork chop, for lack of a better
9	term. You'll have to work that out with
10	them.
11	Our technical comments have been
12	addressed.
13	County Planning issued a Local
14	determination with no advisory comments.
15	I think the challenge here is with
16	this site being in proximity to the four-
17	way intersection there and the stoplight
18	to work out the issues with DOT.
19	MR. JOHNSON: Okay.
20	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Jim Campbell,
21	Code Compliance, any comments?
22	MR. CAMPBELL: I just have a
23	couple.
24	I see that you removed the
25	electronic sign from the plans That's

1	17K Vineyards & Spirits
2	good because that would require a special
3	use permit.
4	Also, are there any building-
5	mounted signs proposed?
6	MR. SINGH: Not right now.
7	MR. HINES: Sir, can you give your
8	name.
9	MR. SINGH: Sukhvir Singh from 17K
LO	Vineyards & Spirits.
11	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Comments from
12	Board Members. Dave Dominick.
13	MR. DOMINICK: I echo what DOT and
L 4	Ken Wersted are saying about the 17K
15	entrance, making it a right only in and
16	that's it. You can put all the signs you
L 7	want, nobody is going to they're going
18	to sneak through.
L 9	The other option I suggest that we
20	discussed as well would be to eliminate
21	that entrance and come in off the side
22	road.
23	Similar to the Cumberland Farms,
24	and what John Ward was saying, a
25	stonewall in front, a nice stonewall, and

1	17K Vineyards & Spirits
2	you have eliminated all of the obstacles
3	that you're facing right now.
4	MR. SINGH: Unfortunately that
5	won't work for my business. I can do
6	right in and right out.
7	MR. DOMINICK: If you did a right
8	in/right out, as Pat Hines said, our
9	engineer, a pork chop would be needed in
10	that section. Curbing.
11	MR. SINGH: We'll do the necessary
12	curbing.
13	MR. JOHNSON: I believe it was DOT
14	that suggested that we have the
15	combination sign, the one way/do not
16	enter sign, so that no one would come
17	back out that way. That particular sign
18	is sitting there at the end so that no
19	one would enter into that exit.
20	MR. DOMINICK: Right. They are
21	still going to sneak through. That's why
22	I think if you made curbing to force them
23	right in and not any way to get back out,
24	it would be much easier and much safer,
25	especially on 17K. That's a dangerous

1	17K Vineyards & Spirits
2	road.
3	MR. JOHNSON: So narrow the
4	entranceway? As long as it's a 20-foot
5	entrance for a truck, someone is going to
6	somebody is going to attempt no
7	matter what you put there, somebody is
8	going to attempt to go out. This is a
9	very busy highway. Somebody is going to
10	attempt to make that left.
11	MR. DOMINICK: Can you make it,
12	Pat, with the curbing?
13	MR. HINES: We typically do that at
14	numerous locations, to have the right in/
15	right out. DOT has a standard detail for
16	that.
17	I think Ken Wersted offered to
18	discuss that with you in his comments.
19	You may want to reach out to Ken as you
20	work through with DOT. He may be of some
21	assistance to provide you with the
22	information you need.
23	MR. JOHNSON: Okay.
24	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Stephanie DeLuca
25	MS. DeLUCA: Nothing further.

1	17K Vineyards & Spirits
2	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: No comment.
3	MR. MENNERICH: No questions.
4	MR. WARD: My suggestion would be
5	to close it off and have an entrance on
6	Colden Hill Road. At the same time, if
7	you do go with the pork chop, if the DOT
8	is trying to improve everything, if you
9	look at Dunkin Donuts further down by the
LO	Montgomery border there, they have like a
11	pork chop separating it. It forces you
12	to make a right. It forces you to go in
13	an entrance one way or another. You
L 4	can't go over it. It's big enough that
15	it's an island-type thing. The easiest
16	way would be shutting it off.
17	When we had Cumberland Farms, they
18	had an entrance right by the light.
L 9	That's what the problem is here. You've
20	got the light and a few entrances right
21	in that vicinity where you want to have
22	an entrance. You'll have as much traffic
23	and business going the other way. As
24	long as they see your store, they're
25	going they'd rather go on Colden Hill

1	17K Vineyards & Spirits
2	Road to go in. If you did a stonewall
3	like Cumberland Farms all the way across,
4	it's a presentation to what you have.
5	It's safer.
6	MR. SINGH: Yes, but Cumberland
7	Farms is a gas station, so people go for
8	gas how they want to. If it's going to
9	be a liquor store and wine store, if
LO	somebody can't get an easy in, they're
11	not going to go.
12	MR. WARD: You have a business
13	across the street that's a restaurant
L 4	with an entrance right across from that.
15	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Can we pause
16	for a second? Can we pause for a second?
17	I think, as Pat Hines said, if you work
18	with Ken Wersted, our Traffic Consultant,
L 9	Ken will help you with the DOT. What
20	you're looking for is a concept approval
21	from the DOT. They'll require a
22	technical design to reflect the concept.
23	I think there are many different opinions
24	and comments that are made by Planning
25	Board Members, but the authority lies

1	17K Vineyards & Spirits
2	with the DOT because they're the
3	permitting agency. I think for the best
4	interest Dominic Cordisco, can you
5	speak on the matter?
6	MR. CORDISCO: Yes. So this
7	Board's practice the DOT's input and
8	involvement is integral to this project
9	because of its access and their
10	jurisdiction. It's been this Board's
11	practice in the past, including in the
12	recent past, to not schedule a public
13	hearing until we have concept approval
14	from the DOT.
15	Ken Wersted, who is the Board's
16	Traffic Consultant, has offered to work
17	directly with you to assist in getting to
18	that point with the DOT to kind of
19	facilitate that along, to the extent that
20	he can, and provide some guidance there.
21	That would be the logical next step I
22	think for the Board to give direction to
23	the applicant.
24	MR. JOHNSON: Would we be out of
25	line asking for the Board to take a vote

1	17K Vineyards & Spirits
2	with the condition with DOT?
3	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: We can't
4	because the DOT you have to go through
5	the stages. Ken Wersted will help you
6	get the concept approval. With his help
7	you'll come up with the proper design for
8	the DOT to grant conceptual approval.
9	Based upon the conceptual approval, we
10	could then take the next step. After
11	that, you still have to come up with a
12	final technical review from the DOT. I
13	think we're trying to say, Floyd, and
14	Mr. Dominic Cordisco said, Ken Wersted
15	said it, the DOT has approval on what
16	you're presenting. We can't kind of
17	second guess them or override them
18	based upon what we feel is our opinion.
19	That wouldn't stand up in court. It
20	would be arbitrary and capricious
21	because none of us sitting here are
22	licensed professionals, whereas Ken Wersted
23	is a licensed professional who could help
24	you navigate this with the DOT.
25	MR. JOHNSON: Okay. Thank you.

1	17K Vineyards & Spirits
2	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: You're welcome.
3	MR. JOHNSON: It didn't hurt to
4	ask.
5	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: We want you to
6	be successful. We don't want to
7	jeopardize your potential. We don't want
8	to jeopardize our position.
9	MR. CORDISCO: The difficulty, if I
10	may,
11	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Please.
12	MR. CORDISCO: is that if the
13	Board did what you were asking, which was
14	make the project conditioned upon
15	approval by the DOT, then if the DOT's
16	approval would require changes to the
17	plans, you would have to come back to
18	this Board anyway.
19	MR. JOHNSON: Anyway.
20	MR. CORDISCO: We're trying to
21	avoid the duplication of your effort as
22	well.
23	MR. JOHNSON: Okay. Thank you.
24	
25	(Time noted: 7:30 pm)

1	17K Vineyards & Spirits
2	
3	CERTIFICATION
4	
5	
6	I, MICHELLE CONERO, a Notary Public for
7	and within the State of New York, do hereby
8	certify:
9	That hereinbefore set forth is a true
10	record of the proceedings.
11	I further certify that I am not related
12	to any of the parties to this proceeding by
13	blood or by marriage and that I am in no way
14	interested in the outcome of this matter.
15	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set
16	my hand this 21st day of January 2025.
17	
18	
19	
20	Michelle Conero
21	MICHELLE CONERO
22	MICHELLE CONERO
23	
24	
25	

1	1	
2		ORK : COUNTY OF ORANGE BURGH PLANNING BOARD
3		X
4	in the Matter of	
5		
6		ELESS - CRONOMER HILL 2024-22)
7		rth Plank Road
8	Section 3	4; Block 2; Lot 21 B Zone
9		
LO		X
11	SITE PLAN & :	SPECIAL USE PERMIT
12		Date: January 16, 2025
13		Time: 7:30 p.m. Place: Town of Newburgh
L 4		Town Hall 1496 Route 300
15		Newburgh, NY 12550
16	BOARD MEMBERS:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
17		KENNETH MENNERICH STEPHANIE DeLUCA
18		DAVID DOMINICK JOHN A. WARD
L 9	ALSO PRESENT:	DOMINIC CORDISCO, ESQ.
20		PATRICK HINES JAMES CAMPBELL
21		
22	APPLICANT'S REPRESI	ENTATIVE: DAVID BRENNAN NATHAN KEENAN
23		X
24	Cou	HELLE L. CONERO urt Reporter
25		5-541-4163 conero@hotmail.com

1	Verizon Wireless- Cronomer Hill
2	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Item number
3	seven, Verizon Wireless - Cronomer Hill,
4	project number 24-22. It's a site plan
5	and special use permit. It's located on
6	North Plank Road in a B Zone. It's being
7	represented by Tectonic Engineering.
8	MR. BRENNAN: Good evening, ladies
9	and gentlemen. I'm Dave Brennan with the
10	law firm of Young/Sommer out of Albany,
11	filling in for Scott Olson this evening
12	who is triple booked. With me is Nate
13	Keenan from Tectonic Engineering.
14	I apologize. I think I have this
15	at my fingertips, but if I misspeak, I
16	apologize. Since this was last before
17	the Board, there's been a visual resource
18	evaluation, a balloon flight conducted in
19	early December in leaf-off conditions.
20	That was presented to the Town for your
21	review. We've had some review comments
22	generated since then.
23	This evening I expect that
24	hopefully we're in a position to consider
25	scheduling a public hearing, and also I

1	Verizon Wireless- Cronomer Hill
2	believe referral has not yet been made to
3	County Planning. I think with the
4	completion of the visual resource
5	evaluation, all of that information
6	necessary for a complete submission to
7	County Planning is now before the Board.
8	I can speak about these things
9	longer than I think you want to listen,
10	so I'll hand it back to the Chair and
11	follow your lead, sir.
12	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Thank you. At
13	this point I'll refer the matter to Pat
14	Hines with MH&E Engineering.
15	MR. HINES: A couple of things have
16	occurred. We received a no effect
17	notification from the Office of Parks,
18	Recreation, Historic Preservation.
19	The balloon test was conducted on
20	14 November after some delays associated
21	with some wind for two weeks prior. It
22	was successfully completed on a day that
23	wasn't windy. That report was submitted
24	on December 6th.
25	Generally the tower will not be

1	Verizon Wireless- Cronomer Hill
2	visible from vantage points in Cronomer
3	Hill Park, which was an area we were
4	concerned with. The existing vegetation
5	in the leaf-off condition significantly
6	screens the tower based on the balloon
7	test.
8	The Town's tower consultant had
9	some comments and should weigh in on the
10	balloon testing as well.
11	The tower was visible from the
12	Chadwick Lake Park pavilion, which is a
13	site the Board added, as well as the new
14	recreation center. I refer the Board to
15	photo P-36A in the visual simulation.
16	The existing tower at the Cronomer
17	Valley Firehouse location is much more
18	visible and much closer to that facility.
19	It's very apparent when you look at that
20	photo that the proposed tower is off in
21	the distance and screened by distance and
22	some vegetation.
23	I did comment on the fact, and it
24	caught my eye when we were looking at the
25	visual simulations, that the Cronomer

1	Verizon Wireless- Cronomer Hill
2	Valley Fire Station cell tower is very
3	visible and located in close proximity.
4	In response to that, the applicants
5	have replied, as well as Mr. Fishman had
6	commented, that that tower does not
7	provide the coverage for the areas that
8	the proposed tower is targeting. They
9	did respond to that. We'll defer to
10	Mr. Fishman's office in the future to
11	concur with that.
12	Since the balloon test has been
13	conducted, it would be appropriate to
14	submit the plans to Orange County
15	Planning for a 239 review and the
16	complete application.
17	The applicants have requested to
18	defer the structural analysis and
19	drawings to a later date. In the past
20	the Board has required those as part of
21	the submissions when HDR was doing the
22	tower review. If you do defer them, we
23	would suggest that any approval
24	resolution in the future note that
25	those drawings would need to be

1	Verizon Wireless- Cronomer Hill
2	submitted and reviewed at the Building
3	Department.
4	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Jim Campbell,
5	Code Compliance.
6	MR. CAMPBELL: Nothing additional
7	at this time.
8	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Dominic Cordisco
9	Planning Board Attorney.
10	MR. CORDISCO: The steps for the
11	Board to consider tonight would be the
12	scheduling of the public hearing and the
13	referral to the County Planning
14	Department, as suggested by counsel. We
15	concur that those would be the appropriate
16	steps at this point.
17	The Board has not yet received
18	comments, as Pat noted, from Doug Fishman,
19	the Town's Telecommunications Consultant,
20	but my suggestion would be that his
21	comments could be received and the
22	Board could consider them as well as
23	beginning processing the public hearing.
24	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Let's talk
25	about time The County has thirty days

1	Verizon Wireless- Cronomer Hill
2	from receipt of the referral. That
3	brings us to what date?
4	MR. CORDISCO: That would bring us
5	to February assuming that the referral
6	was made within the next couple of days,
7	that would bring us to the latter half of
8	February. The Board's meeting it is on
9	February 20th in terms of that second
10	meeting in February. My suggestion would
11	be to schedule the public hearing for the
12	first meeting in March, which would be
13	March 6th.
14	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: I didn't hear
15	that clearly. That was March 5th?
16	MR. CORDISCO: Thursday, March 6th.
17	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Thank you.
18	Comments from Board Members.
19	MR. DOMINICK: Nothing further.
20	MR. WARD: Nothing.
21	MS. DeLUCA: Nothing.
22	MR. MENNERICH: Nothing.
23	MR. WARD: Nothing.
24	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: I'll look for a
25	motion from the Board to make a referral

1	Verizon Wireless- Cronomer Hill
2	to the Orange County Planning Department
3	for Verizon Wireless - Cronomer Hill
4	Park, project number 24-22, site plan and
5	special use permit, and to schedule in
6	the future for a public hearing on the,
7	did we say the 6th of March?
8	MR. CORDISCO: Yes.
9	MR. DOMINICK: March 6th.
10	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Would someone
11	make for a motion?
12	MR. MENNERICH: So moved.
13	MR. DOMINICK: Second.
14	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Thank you. I
15	have a motion by Ken Mennerich. I have a
16	second by Dave Dominick. Can I have a
17	roll call vote starting with Dave
18	Dominick.
19	MR. DOMINICK: Aye.
20	MS. DeLUCA: Aye.
21	MR. MENNERICH: Aye.
22	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Aye.
23	MR. WARD: Aye.
24	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Okay, Mr. Brennan.
25	MR CORDISCO: Mr Chairman. T

1	Verizon Wireless- Cronomer Hill
2	actually have one additional item, if I
3	may. Just for the record, to make it
4	clear, Mr. Olson had acknowledged that
5	prior to the submission of the visual
6	impact assessment, including the balloon
7	test, the shot clock had been pulled at
8	that point. Even though the application
9	had been made, it wasn't a complete
10	application because it was outstanding,
11	that part which is required by the Code.
12	That submission was made on December 6th.
13	We just want to acknowledge that the shot
L 4	clock is now running, but it starts to
15	run as of December 6th because that was
16	the submission to make it essentially a
17	complete application on this matter.
18	MR. BRENNAN: Sure.
19	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: And the
20	timeline with the shot clock is how many
21	days?
22	MR. CORDISCO: It's 150 days.
23	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: 150?
24	MR. CORDISCO: Correct.
25	MR. BRENNAN: We have no problem

1	Verizon Wireless- Cronomer Hill
2	concurring with that timing as well as
3	any necessary waiver with respect to
4	where this process is. We would note
5	that for the record. We appreciate the
6	Board's vote this evening.
7	If I'm not the one that gets to
8	come back at the next meeting, it was
9	nice meeting you all. Thank you very
10	much.
11	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Thank you,
12	Mr. Brennan.
13	
L 4	(Time noted: 7:40 p.m.)
15	
L 6	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	Verizon Wireless- Cronomer Hill
2	
3	CERTIFICATION
4	
5	
6	I, MICHELLE CONERO, a Notary Public for
7	and within the State of New York, do hereby
8	certify:
9	That hereinbefore set forth is a true
10	record of the proceedings.
11	I further certify that I am not related
12	to any of the parties to this proceeding by
13	blood or by marriage and that I am in no way
14	interested in the outcome of this matter.
15	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set
16	my hand this 21st day of January 2025.
17	
18	
19	
20	Michelle Coman
21	Michelle Conero MICHELLE CONERO
22	MICHELLE CONERO
23	
24	
25	

1		
2		YORK : COUNTY OF ORANGE WBURGH PLANNING BOARD
3		X
4	In the Matter of	
5		
6		INDUSTRIAL PARK (2023-09)
7	New Yo	rk State Route 300
8	Section	34; Block 2; Lot 45 IB Zone
9		
10		X
11	PUBLIC HEAF	RING - CLEARING & GRADING
		D. L
12		Date: January 16, 2025 Time: 7:40 p.m.
13		Place: Town of Newburgh Town Hall
14		1496 Route 300 Newburgh, NY 12550
15		
16	BOARD MEMBERS:	JOHN P. EWASUTYN, Chairman KENNETH MENNERICH
17		STEPHANIE DeLUCA DAVID DOMINICK
18		JOHN A. WARD
19	ALSO PRESENT:	DOMINIC CORDISCO, ESQ.
20		PATRICK HINES JAMES CAMPBELL
21		
22	APPLICANT'S REPRE	SENTATIVES: JOSEPH MODAFFERI ABRAHAM POMERANTZ
23		X
24	С	CHELLE L. CONERO ourt Reporter
25		845-541-4163

1	Farrell Industrial Park
2	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Our eighth item
3	of business this evening is Farrell
4	Industrial Park, project number 23-09.
5	It's here for a public hearing on a
6	clearing and grading application. It's
7	in an IB Zone. It's being represented by
8	JMC Planning.
9	Mr. Mennerich will read the notice
10	of hearing.
11	MR. MENNERICH: "Notice of hearing,
12	Town of Newburgh Planning Board. Please
13	take notice that the Planning Board of
14	the Town of Newburgh, Orange County,
15	New York will hold a public hearing
16	pursuant to Section 274-A of the New
17	York State Town Law and Chapter 83 of
18	the Town of Newburgh Code on the
19	application of Farrell Industrial Park,
20	project 2023-09. The project proposes
21	a 262,080 square foot warehouse. The
22	project is applying under Chapter 83,
23	Clear and Grading, of the Town Code.
24	The site is a 35.21 plus or minus acre
25	parcel of property located in the IB

Τ	Farrell Industrial Park
2	Zone. The project has a stormwater
3	pollution prevention plan and an
4	erosion and sediment control plan
5	prepared. The project will require
6	coverage under the New York State DEC
7	and the Town of Newburgh Stormwater
8	Management Regulations. Interim
9	sediment traps have been designed
10	within the grading plan. A phased plan
11	is proposed in order to limit the
12	amount of disturbed area on the site at
13	any one time. The site is located on
14	the Town Tax Maps as Section 34;
15	Block 2, Lot 45. A public hearing will
16	be held on the 16th day of January 2025
17	at the Town Hall Meeting Room, 1496
18	Route 300, Newburgh, New York at 7 p.m.
19	or as soon thereafter, at which time
20	all interested persons will be given an
21	opportunity to be heard. By order of
22	the Town of Newburgh Planning Board.
23	John P. Ewasutyn, Chairman, Planning
24	Board Town of Newburgh. Dated 24 December
25	2024."

1	Farrell Industrial Park
2	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: For the record
3	would you introduce yourself.
4	MR. MODAFFERI: Yes. Joe Modafferi
5	with JMC, project manager and here
6	representing the applicant. I also have
7	Abraham Pomerantz here with me on behalf
8	of the applicant.
9	Good evening, Chairman, Members of
10	the Board. As was stated, we're here for
11	a clearing and grading permit public
12	hearing for the recently approved
13	warehouse project. It is approximately
14	262,000 square feet, as shown on the plan
15	in front of you today.
16	There will be two phases of the
17	clearing and grading or grading for this
18	project. The first phase will be the
19	clearing and grading phase where we're
20	looking for this approval and permit.
21	The second phase will be the final
22	grading phase which would include the
23	final grades, utilities, et cetera.
24	The first phase will be phase 1-A
25	where we are proposing to create the site

1																					
⊥	F	а	r	r	е	1	1	I	n	d	u	s	t	r	i	а	1	Ρ	а	r	k

2 access and sediment traps at the site 3 entrance along Route 300 and construct a 4 sediment trap in the midportion of the 5 site -- sediment basin 1 in the mid-6 portion of the site which will capture 7 some of the earthwork from this portion 8 of the work. We're also proposing to 9 excavate and construct temporary sediment 10 basin 2 early on in the process. 11 don't have anything going to that basin 12 during this phase, but we want to give it adequate time to germinate seed and, you 13 14 know, be more -- do a better job of 15 controlling sediment, et cetera. So this 16 is phase 1-A. During phase 1-A and 17 during some of the other phases, we need 18 to construct some retaining walls. 19 are some significant retaining walls on 20 this project to have the grading work. 21 We understand that clearing and grading 22 permits don't typically allow building 23 permits, but we're requesting, as part of 24 that grading plan and grading permit, 25 that we can get building permits to

1	Farrell Industrial Park
2	construct the retaining walls because
3	they're necessary to complete the
4	grading. For this phase we would be
5	constructing wall A on the northeast
6	corner of the property. This is
7	approximately 9 acres of disturbance. A
8	little bit more than that.
9	Phase 1-B would then be to
10	construct essentially the building
11	pads. The building pad finished
12	grade for the building is roughly 456.
13	We would build this pad to 455. It
L 4	would be a flat pad. We would
15	construct the grades around it. As part
16	of that, we're proposing three sediment
17	traps along the south portion of the
18	property that would capture the
19	sediment as these fills would be done.
20	This is approximately 14 acres of
21	disturbance at this time. We would also
22	initiate construction of walls B and C
23	along the southern property line.
24	Phase 1-C would be construction of
25	the roadways here and a little bit more

1	Farrell Industrial Park
2	grading down here to fill in this and
3	continue to build these walls. It
4	would be at this time that we would
5	initiate using the sediment basin. We'd
6	have a good amount of time for this basin
7	itself to generate seed and germinate in
8	an effort to best protect the wetland
9	that's adjacent. This would be
10	approximately a little bit more than 5
11	acres of disturbance. We would continue
12	with I don't know if I said it
13	raising these walls, B and C, a little
14	bit more.
15	Then phase 1-D would be to
16	continue some additional grading along
17	the south side of the property and the
18	east side of the property to bring it up
19	to final grades as much as we possibly
20	can and distribute some more of the soil
21	to build the berm that's proposed here.
22	This would essentially finish all of the
23	work that we would do during the clearing
24	and grading.
25	The next phase, which we're

1	Farrell Industrial Park
2	showing on the next sheet, would be to
3	essentially finish construction of this
4	roadway, backfill against the building,
5	finish the grading of this parking
6	area, finish the grading of this
7	parking area here and excavate the two
8	detention basins that are proposed in the
9	northwest corner of the property based on
LO	the approved plan.
11	That's essentially the project
12	and what we're looking to do for
13	clearing and grading.
14	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Comments from
15	Board Members. Dave Dominick.
16	MR. DOMINICK: No. It's been very
L 7	detailed all along. Nothing further from
L 8	me.
L 9	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Stephanie DeLuca.
20	MS. DeLUCA: No. It looks very
21	good.
22	MR. MENNERICH: No comments.
23	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Weather
24	conditions being favorable, all the
25	phases vou're discussing, completion

1	Farrell Industrial Park
2	amounts to how many months?
3	MR. MODAFFERI: Twelve to eighteen
4	maybe. Maybe twelve months.
5	MR. POMERANTZ: Hopefully less,
6	but
7	MR. MODAFFERI: Hopefully less.
8	Actually, I guess we're looking at two
9	years for full construction. This might
10	be nine to twelve.
11	MR. HINES: Twelve is the right
12	answer.
13	MR. MODAFFERI: Twelve is the right
14	answer?
15	MR. HINES: Clearing and grading
16	permits are only valid for a year.
17	MR. MODAFFERI: All right. Twelve
18	months or less. I'll give you that based
19	on that.
20	MR. HINES: Unless you obtain
21	completed site plan approval, at which
22	time your clearing and grading permit
23	becomes your site plan approval.
24	MR. MODAFFERI: Yes. And then we
25	get the building permit. We will,

1	Farrell Industrial Park
2	obviously in accordance with the
3	requirements, as the plans are showing,
4	the red and green for the grades under
5	that phase and the darker black grades
6	from the previous phase. The areas not
7	being disturbed will be temporarily
8	seeded and mulched. Where we can, as we
9	go along we will do the final seeding and
10	spreading of topsoil where and as it
11	makes sense.
12	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: John Ward.
13	MR. WARD: No comments.
14	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Jim Campbell,
15	Code Compliance.
16	MR. CAMPBELL: As previously
17	stated, building permits are required for
18	the walls.
19	Also, third-party inspections and
20	engineer sign-offs would also be
21	required.
22	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: At this point
23	I'd like to turn the meeting over to the
24	public for any questions or comments.
25	For the record can you give your

1	Farrell Industrial Park
2	first name.
3	BILL: Bill, Rockwood Drive. The
4	permit will be by phase or will that be
5	one permit covers all phases of the
6	grading and clearing?
7	MR. HINES: It's one permit for all
8	phases. The phasing is construction
9	phasing to limit the amount of
LO	disturbance. The project will require a
11	5-acre waiver, because the way they've
12	broken out the clearing and grading, it
13	exceeds 5 acres at any one time for
L 4	several of the phases. We have worked
15	with the applicant to develop the phasing
16	plan. We made some suggestions,
17	including the construction of the
18	permanent pond to the east early on.
19	A couple of projects that had to
20	have clearing and grading permits, that's
21	worked very well to get the larger
22	stormwater fixtures in earlier in the
23	project than originally proposed. They
24	have been able to incorporate that into
25	their clearing and grading plan.

1	Farrell Industrial Park
2	BILL: Will each phase be
3	permanently stabilized prior to the
4	initiation of the next phase?
5	MR. MODAFFERI: They would be
6	seeded and mulched. We would make sure
7	that as progression goes, we would get
8	the stabilization from the seed. We
9	wouldn't stop work on the clearing and
10	grading when we finish phase 1-A. We
11	would seed and mulch everything that
12	doesn't intend to be touched for phase
13	1-B, but we wouldn't wait for
14	germination.
15	BILL: In effect, this is one
16	continuous process?
17	MR. MODAFFERI: Yes. Yes.
18	BILL: The detention basins in the
19	northwest corner are going to be
20	constructed at the end of the project.
21	Is it not their intent to be catching
22	drainage during the whole project?
23	MR. MODAFFERI: Not during the
24	grading. That's where the final grading
25	comes into play with this phase, phase 2

1	Farrell Industrial Park
2	here. All of the grades for the roadway
3	as we build it in the first phase will be
4	pitched towards this temporary basin and
5	then there's a swale proposed. The road,
6	during those early phases, will be much
7	narrower just to allow construction
8	trucks to come in. Once we're in the
9	final phase, when we have the building
10	permit, we're going to widen the road and
11	build it per the plan, pitching to both
12	sides with the drainage installed and
13	everything.
14	MR. HINES: Those are also
15	infiltration basins and cannot be
16	utilized for sediment control during
17	construction,
18	MR. MODAFFERI: That's correct.
19	Yes.
20	MR. HINES: otherwise it impacts
21	the infiltration. That's the reason
22	those are being deferred, because they
23	don't want to disturb the infiltration.
24	BILL: It would be grown in because
25	it would be utilized?

Τ	Farrell Industrial Park
2	MR. HINES: You have to have the
3	site basically stabilized so you can
4	utilize the infiltration basins.
5	MR. MODAFFERI: In the final plan
6	that was approved as part of the site
7	plan, there are some drainage structures
8	in this area that will convey drainage to
9	the structures, but there is a temporary
LO	pipe system that will convey it to this
11	side until such time that these have
12	germinated. So while it's under
13	construction, we need to protect those
L 4	and allow them to you know, the seed
15	to germinate before we can convey storm
16	there. There's a temporary pipe that
L 7	would go in and some balloons or
18	blockages on the outlet pipes going into
L 9	these structures, into the basin.
20	BILL: Given the amount of soil on
21	the site and the potential for good wet
22	weather, the hope for good wet weather,
23	not for the project but just for the
24	region, is there a substantial clean-off
25	mat at the front of the site to keep the

1	Farrell Industrial Park
2	material from being tracked onto the
3	road, which is a very busy road? It's
4	going to be a nuisance if it gets muddy.
5	MR. MODAFFERI: There is a proposed
6	construction access in accordance with
7	the standard State details. Obviously if
8	there are issues during construction,
9	we're going to address them and work with
10	the Town and whatever we have to do to
11	ensure that the road is clean.
12	BILL: Is the clean-off detail site
13	size contingent? Does it depend on the
14	size of the site?
15	MR. MODAFFERI: It does not.
16	MR. HINES: There are minimum
17	dimensions in the DEC's design guideline
18	book.
19	BILL: Per acre of site?
20	MR. HINES: Not per acre of site.
21	There are minimum dimensions. That's
22	calculated based on the amount of wheel
23	turning coming out of the site to clean
24	that off. I believe it's a 50-foot
25	minimum dimension.

1	Farrell Industrial Park
2	MR. MODAFFERI: 50 foot or
3	something. It's intended to clean the
4	tires of the trucks going offsite. The
5	tires have a certain amount of tread and
6	it's calculated that way.
7	BILL: The efficacy and maintenance
8	of the clean-off pad is critical to that
9	being functional?
LO	MR. MODAFFERI: Absolutely. It's
11	on the contractor to maintain that pad.
12	MR. HINES: Because of the amount
13	of disturbance being greater than 5 acres
L 4	at any one time, they also were required
15	to have two inspections per week by their
16	qualified inspector rather than the one
L 7	for less than 5 acres of disturbance.
18	Also, all portions of the site
L 9	brought to final grade have to be seeded
20	and mulched within seven days rather thar
21	the fourteen.
22	BILL: Are there financial
23	penalties for tracking mud onto the
24	after reasonable warning? I don't know
25	if the Code

1	Farrell Industrial Park
2	MR. HINES: The Town's stormwater
3	ordinance contains provisions for
4	penalties. The DOT permits can be
5	revoked. It is a DOT road. They're not
6	going to tolerate mud being tracked out
7	there. My office does periodic
8	inspections of these sites in addition to
9	those conducted by the applicant's
L O	qualified inspector, and certainly the
11	Building Department also is available for
12	that.
13	BILL: If I recall, is this site
L 4	I might have the wrong site here. Is
15	this site plaqued with high bedrock in
L 6	certain spots?
17	MR. HINES: There is going to be
18	bedrock removal in the area of the
L 9	building pad. I'll defer to the
20	applicant.
21	MR. MODAFFERI: Yes. Essentially
22	at the top of the hill there's a
23	significant amount of rock. The
24	intention is to remove that rock and
25	process it and use it as a base under the

1	Farrell Industrial Park
2	building.
3	BILL: What's the means of removal?
4	MR. MODAFFERI: It's going to be
5	chipping or blasting. I believe we have
6	notes on the plan that we have to conform
7	to the Town Code and get a blasting
8	permit as may be required.
9	BILL: Early on there was quite a
10	bit of local residential interest here.
11	I'm surprised there's no one here
12	tonight. That's their responsibility,
13	not yours.
14	I think that's all I have to say.
15	Thank you.
16	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: At this point
17	we'll turn the meeting over to Pat Hines
18	with MH&E.
19	MR. HINES: Our first comment just
20	identifies that they're here for a
21	clearing and grading permit application.
22	The project will require a 5-acre
23	waiver. That's granted by the Town
24	Board.
25	The phased grading plan has been

1	Farrell Industrial Park
2	prepared. We did have a technical work
3	session with the applicants and reviewed
4	that phased grading plan, provided some
5	comments and suggestions.
6	There's a requirement for security
7	for clearing and grading permits.
8	Typically the Town requires \$3,500 per
9	acre. There's 23.5 acres of disturbance
LO	proposed. Don't use the math in my memo.
11	We did it during work session and that's
12	\$82,250 for security just for the
13	clearing and grading. There will be
L 4	additional securities required as part of
15	the site plan approval for erosion and
16	sediment control and the long-term
L 7	grading for the long-term drainage plan.
18	We're suggesting that an inspection
L 9	fee be established for the clearing and
20	grading for the operation, the monitoring
21	of the operation and maintenance of that.
22	The applicants are requesting to
23	obtain building permits to construct
24	retaining walls as part of the clearing
25	and grading permit. The Town has done

1	Farrell Industrial Park
2	that in the past for these larger
3	projects. It would be difficult to clear
4	and grade a site such as this that has
5	retaining walls integral to their grading
6	plan. The Board would have to be in
7	favor of doing that as well.
8	The site has conditional final
9	approval with numerous conditions to be
10	addressed currently.
11	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Before I refer
12	to Dominic Cordisco, Planning Board
13	Attorney, are there any additional
14	questions or comments from the public?
15	(No response.)
16	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: There being no
17	further questions or comments from the
18	public, would someone move for a motion
19	to close the public hearing on Farrell
20	Industrial Park, project number 23-09,
21	for a clearing and grading presentation.
22	MR. WARD: So moved.
23	MR. MENNERICH: Second.
24	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: I have a motion
25	by John Ward. I have a second by Ken

1	Farrell Industrial Park
2	Mennerich. Can I have a roll call vote
3	starting with Dave Dominick.
4	MR. DOMINICK: Aye.
5	MS. DeLUCA: Aye.
6	MR. MENNERICH: Aye.
7	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Aye.
8	MR. WARD: Aye.
9	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: I'd like to turn
10	the meeting over to Dominic Cordisco,
11	Planning Board Attorney.
12	MR. CORDISCO: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
13	As far as SEQRA is concerned, the Board
14	had previously adopted a negative
15	declaration for this project originally
16	in October of 2021. You also adopted
17	an amended negative declaration, based
18	on the site plan amendments that were
19	proposed, at your meeting on December 19th
20	of 2024. That included the clearing and
21	grading permit because that was also
22	applied for at the time, so SEQRA is
23	completed for this project.
24	The application for a clearing
25	and grading permit did not meet the

1	Farrell Industrial Park
2	requirements to be referred to the
3	Orange County Planning Department, so
4	the result was that no such referral
5	was made, nor is one required, nor
6	would they review it.
7	The Board, if you're so inclined,
8	could consider at this point granting
9	the clearing and grading permit to the
10	project.
11	The conditions are several. If
12	it's all right, I would like to read
13	them into the record.
14	The applicant would need to
15	comply with Chapter 83 of the Town
16	Code, which is the clearing and grading
17	provisions, because there are a number
18	of conditions that are set forth within
19	that code, rather than repeat them
20	here. Any mitigation measures
21	identified in the amended negative
22	declaration would also have to be
23	complied with. The applicant would
24	need to file with the Town Clerk a
25	performance guarantee in the amount of

1	Farrell Industrial Park
2	\$3,500 per acre for the entire
3	disturbed areas, which is either by
4	certified check or a letter of credit,
5	in a form approved by the Town
6	Attorney. There's an inspection fee
7	an initial inspection fee deposit in
8	the amount of $$3,500$. The applicant
9	will abide by the Indiana Bat and
10	northern Long Eared Bat tree clearing
11	restrictions so that there's no tree
12	clearing between April 1st and October
13	1st. The applicant shall obtain
L 4	coverage under the DEC general permit
15	for stormwater discharges as well as a
16	5-acre waiver from the Town of Newburgh
17	Town Board. Prior to utilizing any
18	heavy vehicles or equipment onsite, the
L 9	applicant shall obtain a construction
20	entrance permit from the DOT. The
21	applicant shall comply with all
22	requirements of the Tree Preservation
23	& Protection Law of the Town. Site
24	activities are only to be conducted
25	between the hours of 7:30 a.m. and 6:00

1	Farrell Industrial Park
2	p.m. when within 1,500 feet of any
3	residence. There shall be no site
4	preparation or activity conducted on
5	Sundays or public holidays. The
6	applicant will have to apply for
7	building permits for the retaining
8	walls and comply with any conditions
9	that the Building Department may have
10	in connection with those. The last one
11	is that the applicant has to pay all
12	fees, including escrows, prior to the
13	commencement of any activity on the
14	site.
15	MR. MODAFFERI: If I could just
16	touch on one that you mentioned, the bat
17	restriction. I don't believe this site
18	is within the bat restriction area. I
19	don't believe it was part of the site
20	plan. I don't have the EAF in front of
21	me, but I just don't recall that being -
22	it wasn't a condition of site plan
23	originally that I recall. I just wanted
24	to touch on that quick.
25	MR. CORDISCO: I don't have the

1	Farrell Industrial Park
2	benefit of having the negative
3	declaration in front of me or the EAF.
4	We'll confer on that. If the condition
5	doesn't apply, my suggestion to the Board
6	is that we would omit that from the
7	resolution if it's not applicable.
8	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Any questions
9	or comments from Planning Board Members?
L O	MR. DOMINICK: No.
11	MS. DeLUCA: No.
12	MR. MENNERICH: No.
13	MR. WARD: No.
L 4	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Having heard
15	the conditions of approval presented by
16	Planning Board Attorney Dominic Cordisco
L 7	for the clearing and grading application
18	for Farrell Industrial Park, project
L 9	number 23-09, would someone move for a
20	motion for approval.
21	MR. DOMINICK: I'll make the motion.
22	MR. WARD: Second.
23	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: I have a motion
24	by Dave Dominick. I have a second by
25	John Ward. Can I have a roll call vote

1	Farrell Industrial Park
2	starting with John Ward.
3	MR. WARD: Aye.
4	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Aye.
5	MR. MENNERICH: Aye.
6	MS. DeLUCA: Aye.
7	MR. DOMINICK: Aye.
8	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Thank you.
9	MR. MODAFFERI: Thank you very
10	much. Have a wonderful evening. Get
11	home safe.
12	
13	(Time noted: 8:02 p.m.)
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	Farrell Industrial Park
2	
3	CERTIFICATION
4	
5	
6	I, MICHELLE CONERO, a Notary Public for
7	and within the State of New York, do hereby
8	certify:
9	That hereinbefore set forth is a true
10	record of the proceedings.
11	I further certify that I am not related
12	to any of the parties to this proceeding by
13	blood or by marriage and that I am in no way
14	interested in the outcome of this matter.
15	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set
16	my hand this 21st day of January 2025.
17	
18	
19	
20	Michelle Comana
21	Michelle Conero MICHELLE CONERO
22	MICHELLE CONERO
23	
24	
25	

1		
2		YORK : COUNTY OF ORANGE BURGH PLANNING BOARD
3		X
4		
5	3 MARINERS (COURT - PAPALEO
6		024-35)
7		riners Court .21; Block 1; Lot 7
8		R-1 Zone
9		X
10		
11		ING - AMENDED SUBDIVISION ARING & GRADING
12		Data: Tanuary 16 2025
13		Date: January 16, 2025 Time: 8:02 p.m.
14		Place: Town of Newburgh Town Hall 1496 Route 300
15		Newburgh, NY 12550
16	DOADD MEMBERG.	
17	BOARD MEMBERS:	JOHN P. EWASUTYN, Chairman KENNETH MENNERICH
18		STEPHANIE DeLUCA DAVID DOMINICK
19		JOHN A. WARD
20	ALSO PRESENT:	DOMINIC CORDISCO, ESQ. PATRICK HINES
21		JAMES CAMPBELL
22	APPLICANT'S REPRESI	ENTATIVE: DAVID NIEMOTKO
23		
24		X HELLE L. CONERO
25		urt Reporter 45-541-4163

1	3 Mariners Court - Papaleo
2	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Our last item
3	this evening is 3 Mariners Court -
4	Papaleo, project number 24-35. It's here
5	this evening for a public hearing on an
6	amended subdivision and clearing and
7	grading application. It's located on
8	3 Mariners Court in an R-1 Zone. It's
9	being represented by David I always
LO	have a hard time, I apologize, with
11	your last name.
12	MR. NIEMOTKO: After all these
13	years. Niemotko.
L 4	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Niemotko.
15	I'll ask Mr. Mennerich to read the
16	notice of hearing.
17	MR. MENNERICH: "Notice of hearing,
18	Town of Newburgh Planning Board. Please
L 9	take notice that the Planning Board of
20	the Town of Newburgh, Orange County,
21	New York will hold a public hearing
22	pursuant to Section 274-A of the New
23	York State Town Law and Chapter 83 of
24	the Town of Newburgh Code on the
25	application of 3 Mariners Court -

1	3 Mariners Court - Papaleo
2	Papaleo clearing and grading
3	application/amended subdivision,
4	project 2024-35. The project is an
5	amended subdivision to revise the
6	previously approved grading plan on the
7	lot within the Anchorage Subdivision,
8	filed map 2016-02. The project
9	proposes modifications to the approved
10	grading plan to place 8,000 plus or
11	minus cubic yards of fill on the site.
12	A single-family residential house
13	exists on the site. The project is to
L 4	be served by an onsite well and an
15	onsite subsurface sanitary sewer
L 6	disposal system. The project is
17	located in the Town's R-1 Zoning
18	District. The project is designated on
19	the Town Tax Map as Section 121; Block 1;
20	Lot 7. A public hearing will be held
21	on the 16th day of January 2025 at the
22	Town Hall Meeting Room, 1496 Route 300,
23	Newburgh, New York at 7 p.m. or as soon
24	thereafter as it can be heard, at which
25	time all interested persons will be

1	3 Mariners Court - Papaleo
2	given an opportunity to be heard. By
3	order of the Town of Newburgh Planning
4	Board. John P. Ewasutyn, Chairman,
5	Planning Board Town of Newburgh. Dated
6	9 December 2024."
7	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Would you make
8	your presentation.
9	MR. NIEMOTKO: Sure. We're here
10	again in response to your comments from
11	our last meeting and the comments we
12	received from McGoey, Hauser & Edsall.
13	Those comments resulted in the following
14	revisions to the plans.
15	As was mentioned, we're proposing
16	to fill approximately 8,000 cubic yards
17	of area of fill in this area.
18	The comments that we were asked to
19	review was to allow the grading or detail
20	the grading to be further away from the
21	property line, which we did.
22	We introduced stabilization fabric
23	or rolled sections to stabilize the slope
24	as was suggested.
25	In addition to that, we did

1	3 Mariners Court - Papaleo
2	relocate the construction entrance to a
3	more level area to allow access in and
4	out in a safe manner.
5	We identified the inverts of the
6	existing culvert to make sure that
7	remained unhindered or unconstrained in
8	any way.
9	As a result, we did get Pat's
10	recent letter and I believe we addressed
11	all of the comments satisfactorily.
12	We prepared for the public hearing
13	which included posting the notice onsite
14	and getting the acknowledgement from the
15	clerk.
16	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Comments from
17	Planning Board Members. Dave Dominick.
18	MR. DOMINICK: Nothing at this
19	time.
20	MS. DeLUCA: Nothing.
21	MR. MENNERICH: No questions.
22	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: No questions.
23	MR. WARD: No questions.
24	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: At this point
25	we'll open the meeting to the public. If

1	3 Mariners Court - Papaleo
2	anyone has any questions or comments,
3	please raise your hand.
4	(No response.)
5	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Okay. Let the
6	record show that there is no public
7	comment.
8	At this point I'll ask the Board to
9	move for a motion to close the public
LO	hearing on Mariners Court - Papaleo,
11	project number 24-35. Would someone make
12	that motion?
13	MS. DeLUCA: So moved.
L 4	MR. MENNERICH: Second.
15	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: I have a motion
16	by Stephanie DeLuca. I have a second by
17	Ken Mennerich. Can I have a roll call
18	vote starting with Dave Dominick.
19	MR. DOMINICK: Aye.
20	MS. DeLUCA: Aye.
21	MR. MENNERICH: Aye.
22	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Aye.
23	MR. WARD: Aye.
24	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: At this point,
25	Jim Campbell, Code Compliance, do you

1	3 Mariners Court - Papaleo
2	have any questions or comments?
3	MR. CAMPBELL: No, I do not.
4	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Pat Hines with
5	MH&E.
6	MR. HINES: Our first comment just
7	describes the project as was just
8	addressed, 8,000 plus or minus cubic
9	yards of fill on the residential lot. We
10	believe the intention is to make a usable
11	lawn area where it's currently relatively
12	steep outside the building envelop that
13	was constructed.
14	The stabilized construction
15	entrance has been relocated.
16	The limits of fill have been
17	redesigned to move further from the
18	adjoining property.
19	The project proposes to disturb a
20	footprint of .61 acres.
21	The Planning Board should consider
22	requiring security for the clearing and
23	grading operation as well as an inspection
24	fee.
25	This is a rather unique project.

1	3 Mariners Court - Papaleo
2	The footprint is very small while it
3	does incorporate 8,000 cubic yards.
4	The per acre security would be very low
5	compared to what is often required for
6	clearing and grading. More importantly,
7	I think it was discussed at work session,
8	the possibility of including an inspection
9	fee such that the Building Department can
10	use outside resources to keep track of
11	the operation.
12	We do note that the rolled erosion
13	control matting has been proposed on
14	the steeper slopes.
15	I re-mention the security for the
16	site stabilization.
17	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Dominic Cordisco,
18	Planning Board Attorney.
19	MR. CORDISCO: This is similar to
20	the prior application, but obviously much
21	smaller. The conditions are relatively
22	similar as far as that's concerned.
23	As Pat had eluded to, the Board
24	does have the authority to require a
25	suitable site stabilization security as

1	3 Mariners Court - Papaleo
2	well as an inspection fee for the
3	project. You have some flexibility there
4	as far as that's concerned.
5	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: So that would
6	be the motion for approval for the
7	clearing and grading amendment
8	subdivision/clearing and grading for
9	Mariners Court - Papaleo?
L O	MR. CORDISCO: Yes, which would
11	also include the ability for the Building
12	Department to impose an escrow for the
13	site inspection fee as well as a suitable
L 4	security that would be recommended at
15	that time.
16	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Having heard
17	the conditions of approval for Mariners
18	Court - Papaleo, project number 24-35,
19	for amended subdivision and clearing and
20	grading presented by Planning Board
21	Attorney Dominic Cordisco, would someone
22	move for that motion.
23	MR. WARD: So moved.
24	MR. DOMINICK: Second.
25	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: I have a motion

1	3 Mariners Court - Papaleo
2	by John Ward. I have a second by Dave
3	Dominick. Can I have a roll call vote
4	starting with Dave Dominick.
5	MR. DOMINICK: Aye.
6	MS. DeLUCA: Aye.
7	MR. MENNERICH: Aye.
8	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Aye.
9	MR. WARD: Aye.
10	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Thank you.
11	
12	(Time noted: 8:10 p.m.)
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	3 Mariners Court - Papaleo
2	
3	CERTIFICATION
4	
5	
6	I, MICHELLE CONERO, a Notary Public for
7	and within the State of New York, do hereby
8	certify:
9	That hereinbefore set forth is a true
10	record of the proceedings.
11	I further certify that I am not related
12	to any of the parties to this proceeding by
13	blood or by marriage and that I am in no way
14	interested in the outcome of this matter.
15	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set
16	my hand this 21st day of January 2025.
17	
18	
19	
20	Michelle Coman
21	Michelle Conero
22	MICHELLE CONERO
23	
24	
25	

1		
2		ORK : COUNTY OF ORANGE WBURGH PLANNING BOARD
3		X
4	In the Matter of	
5		
6	MATRIX I-8	34 DISTRIBUTION CENTER (2022-29)
7		Route 17K
8		85; Block 1; Lot 97 Block 1; Lots 66 & 69.1
9	2000_000,	IB Zone
10		X
11	DC	AND DISTNESS
12	ВС	PARD BUSINESS
13		Date: January 16, 2025
14		Time: 8:12 p.m. Place: Town of Newburgh
15		Town Hall 1496 Route 300
16		Newburgh, NY 12550
17		
18	BOARD MEMBERS:	JOHN P. EWASUTYN, Chairman KENNETH MENNERICH
19		STEPHANIE DeLUCA DAVID DOMINICK
20		JOHN A. WARD
21	ALSO PRESENT:	DOMINIC CORDISCO, ESQ.
22	TILOU TINEDENT.	PATRICK HINES JAMES CAMPBELL
23		CHELLE L. CONERO
24		ourt Reporter 845-541-4163
25	michel	leconero@hotmail.com

1	Matrix I-84 Distribution Center
2	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: The last item
3	for discussion as part of the record
4	is the Matrix application on Route 17K.
5	Pat Hines will speak to the site
6	changes associated with that.
7	MR. HINES: We were contacted by
8	representatives of Matrix and received a
9	letter from their engineers, Langan
10	Engineers, identifying that based on site
11	conditions, and I believe the cost of the
12	extensive retaining walls, that those
13	retaining walls had been redesigned for
14	the project site. Additional geo-technical
15	work was done that identified a larger
16	volume of unsuitable material that would
17	have to be removed in order to construct
18	the revised retaining walls.
19	The site received a clearing and
20	grading permit to remove 160,000 cubic
21	yards of material from the site, including
22	a significant volume of topsoil. The
23	majority of that material has been
24	removed. All of it was not. They
25	stopped work when they ran into the

1	Matrix I-84 Distribution Center
2	need for the retaining walls to be
3	constructed in support of the clearing
4	and grading.
5	They've identified an additional
6	120,000 cubic yards of material that
7	needs to be removed in order to
8	construct the revised retaining walls.
9	That material would not be suitable to
10	put back based on the retaining wall
11	designs.
12	They're looking for approval to
13	continue under their clearing and
14	grading permit, which was extensively
15	done last summer, in order to remove
16	that additional 120,000 cubic yards of
17	material.
18	The securities are in place. The
19	footprint for the clearing and grading
20	doesn't change. It's the volume of
21	material to be removed.
22	They did have a traffic control
23	plan and approval from DOT which
24	continues.
25	There were conditions that the

1	Matrix I-84 Distribution Center
2	material not be placed within the Town
3	of Newburgh without further approvals
4	of that location under the clearing and
5	grading permit. They identified sites
6	outside the Town of Newburgh where they
7	had approval, including one in the Town
8	of Montgomery and I believe one was in
9	Tuxedo, I know it was south of here,
10	that they were utilizing to deposit
11	that material. I believe those sites
12	are going to continue to be utilized.
13	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Questions from
L 4	Board Members. Dave Dominick.
15	MR. DOMINICK: Nothing further.
16	Pat explained it.
17	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Stephanie DeLuca
18	MS. DeLUCA: No. Nothing.
L 9	MR. MENNERICH: No questions.
20	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: No comment.
21	John Ward.
22	MR. WARD: The only question I had
23	was with Sunbelt being open, if they
24	could address when the trucks go out to
25	8.4

1	Matrix I-84 Distribution Center
2	MR. HINES: Their traffic control
3	will have to address Sunbelt Rentals.
4	Previously they had the shoulders closed
5	and their construction vehicles were
6	utilizing the shoulder to come up to
7	speed. They may have to adjust that.
8	They have an approved plan from DOT.
9	There may need to be modifications.
10	Again, that's under the purview of DOT.
11	The site was monitored while they
12	were doing it. They did a really good
13	job at keeping 17K clear. They had
14	street sweepers, an extensive stabilized
15	construction entrance that has been
16	developed. The front of the site
17	actually is at grade and has been
18	vegetated. I think if they continue that
19	operation, it should not present any new
20	issues.
21	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Dominic Cordisco
22	can you offer us a statement to enter
23	into the record for Matrix?
24	MR. CORDISCO: Yes. What's being
25	proposed is obviously I'm searching

1	Matrix I-84 Distribution Center
2	for the
3	MR. HINES: Update.
4	MR. CORDISCO: An update to the
5	Board. It's basically a status update as
6	far as where the project is. It would
7	require an informal determination by the
8	Board that an amendment to the existing
9	clearing and grading permit would not be
10	necessary and that they can continue
11	under the existing conditions that they
12	have that are already in place with their
13	clearing and grading permit.
14	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Would someone
15	move for a motion for the Board to accept
16	the statement that was presented by
17	Dominic Cordisco, Planning Board
18	Attorney, for the additional soil removal
19	of 120,000 cubic yards from the Matrix
20	site.
21	MS. DeLUCA: Yes.
22	MR. DOMINICK: Yes.
23	MR. MENNERICH: Yes.
24	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Yes.
25	MR. WARD: Yes.

1	Matrix I-84 Distribution Center
2	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Let the record
3	show that the Board is in favor of that
4	additional removal.
5	MR. CORDISCO: Thank you.
6	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: That being
7	said, would someone move for a motion to
8	close the meeting of the 16th of January
9	2025.
10	MR. MENNERICH: So moved.
11	MS. DeLUCA: Second.
12	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: I have a motion
13	by Ken Mennerich and a second by
14	Stephanie DeLuca. Can I have a roll call
15	vote starting with Dave Dominick.
16	MR. DOMINICK: Aye.
17	MS. DeLUCA: Aye.
18	MR. MENNERICH: Aye.
19	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Aye.
20	MR. WARD: Aye.
21	
22	(Time noted: 8:16 p.m.)
23	
24	
2.5	

1	Matrix I-84 Distribution Center
2	
3	CERTIFICATION
4	
5	
6	I, MICHELLE CONERO, a Notary Public for
7	and within the State of New York, do hereby
8	certify:
9	That hereinbefore set forth is a true
10	record of the proceedings.
11	I further certify that I am not related
12	to any of the parties to this proceeding by
13	blood or by marriage and that I am in no way
14	interested in the outcome of this matter.
15	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set
16	my hand this 21st day of January 2025.
17	
18	
19	
20	Michelle Conero
21	MICHELLE CONERO
22	PICHELLE CONERO
23	
24	
25	